

Don't we need any integrated carbon indicator in order to manage forests sustainably?

Jean-Luc Peyron

IUFRO, Knoxville, 07 June 2012

Background: Forest carbon issues

- Land use changes
- Carbon sequestration by growing forests
- Storage and residence time of carbon in
 - soils,
 - trees
 - forest products
- Removals of roundwood
- Material substitution due to the use of forest products
- Energy substitution due to use of fuelwood/wood residues
- Energy substitution at the end of service life
- Emissions due to forest activities

Background: Literature

- At the macroeconomic level
 - In connection with Climate Convention (UNFCCC and KP)
 - Reporting and accounting
 - Best strategy for the forest sector and climate mitigation
 - In connection also with information towards customers (carbon footprint)
- At the stand level
 - Carbon flows and stocks (still reporting and accounting)
 - Not often comprehensive (sequestration, storage, substitution)
 - Not often in value with the use of discounting.
- Some references however.

Background: Carbon and indicators

- Pan european criteria and indicators of sustainable forest management describe mainly the carbon sink
- Indicators should be useful for all issues quoted previously
- An integrated indicator should be useful for decision makers
- This would allow to carry out multicriteria analyses.
- One single indicator is waited for carbon (and another for biodiversity !!!)

Background: History of forest economics

- Similar discussion now with carbon as those three centuries ago about the best rotation age
 - To reduce the wood shortage
 - To increase owners' revenues.
- Réaumur (1721) : the highest mean annual increment
- Buffon (1734): the highest current annual increment (!)
- Duhamel du Monceau (1764): particular case of a fully regulated forest
- Varenne de Fenille (1791) gives the rule to find the highest mean annual increment (equal to the current increment) and understands the role of the discount (interest) rate.

Background: Conclusion for action

- Economics is useful to think about the right indicator with the use of the concepts of
 - Opportunity cost (avoided emissions)
 - Discount rate.

Contents

- Background (done)
- Quantification of carbon issues
- Combination in a single indicator
- Numerical application.

Quantification with simplifications

- In order to avoid complex formulations, main simplifications are implemented:
 - Evenaged stands
 - No thinnings
 - No annual administrative costs nor annual revenues
 - Tree mortality is not explicitly mentioned
 - Only wood and carbon economies are considered
 - The use of half-life
- Because of the two first simplifications, the application case concerns poplar groves.

Quantification of plantation

- The plantation costs are considered separately because they are allocated
 - partly to wood production
 - and partly to carbon sequestration.

- where
 - $-Do \frac{1}{1 - e^{-rn}}$
 - ***Do*** is the plantation cost (at time 0) (3 500 €/ha)
 - ***r*** is the discount rate (4%)
 - ***n*** is the rotation age (to be determined)
 - the fraction expresses an infinite series of rotations beginning at time 0.

Quantification of wood economy

$$p(n).q(n).V(n) \frac{e^{-rn}}{1 - e^{-rn}}$$

- where
 - n is the rotation age
 - $p(n)$ is the stumpage price at age n (up to 45 €/m³)
 - $V(n)$ is the growing stock (up to 300 m³/ha)
 - $q(n)$ is the marketed share of this growing stock (0 to 0.6)
 - the fraction expresses an infinite series of rotations beginning at time n

Quantification of sequestration

$$p_c . c . z . \left(\int_0^n V'(t) . e^{-rt} dt \right) \frac{1}{1 - e^{-rn}} \approx p_c . c . z . V(n) . e^{-rd} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-rn}}$$

- where

- $V(n)$ is the growing stock
- $V'(t)$ is its current increment
- d is the half-life of the stock growing from 0 to n
- z is the expansion factor to branches and roots (1.6)
- c is the conversion factor from m^3 to tons of CO_2 (0.76)
- p_c is the price of the ton of CO_2 (0 to 100 €/t CO_2)

Quantification of substitution

$$p_c \cdot a(n) \cdot c \cdot q(n) \cdot V(n) \cdot e^{-rn} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-rn}}$$

- where
 - $V(n)$ is the growing stock
 - $q(n)$ is the market (product) share of the growing stock
 - c is the conversion factor from m^3 to tons of CO_2 (0.76)
 - $a(n)$ denotes the avoided emissions due to forest products
 - p_c is the price of the ton of CO_2 (from 0 to 100 €/t CO_2)
- $a(n)$ could reach 0.67 t CO_2 /t CO_2 for energy substitution and 2.1 t CO_2 /t CO_2 for material substitution (LCA, Rüter, 2012). Here it depends heavily on the end-product mix

Quantification of emissions (products)

$$p_c . c . q(n) . V(n) . e^{-r(n+h)} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-rn}}$$

- where
 - $V(n)$ is the growing stock
 - $q(n)$ is the market (product) share of the growing stock
 - c is the conversion factor from m^3 to tons of CO_2 (0.76)
 - p_c is the price of the ton of CO_2 (from 0 to 100 €/t CO_2)
 - h stands for the half-life of the product mix.

Quantification of end of life substitution

$$p_c . a' . c . q' . q(n) . V(n) . e^{-r(n+h)} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-rn}}$$

- where

- $V(n)$ is the growing stock
- $q(n)$ is the market (product) share of the growing stock
- q' : share of products used for energy at their end of life (0.2)
- c is the conversion factor from m^3 to tons of CO_2 (0.76)
- a' denotes avoided emissions due to forest products (0.3)
- p_c is the price of the ton of CO_2 (from 0 to 100 €/t CO_2)
- h stands for the half-life of the product mix (0 to 20 years).

Quantification of emissions (non products)

$$p_c . c . (z - q(n)) . V(n) . e^{-r(n+k)} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-rn}}$$

- where
 - $V(n)$ is the growing stock
 - $q(n)$ is the market (product) share of the growing stock
 - z is the expansion factor to branches and roots
 - c is the conversion factor from m^3 to tons of CO_2 (0.76)
 - p_c is the price of the ton of CO_2 (from 0 to 100 €/t CO_2)
 - k stands for the half-life of the non products (10 years)

Quantification of land-use change

$$p_c \cdot (S_f - S_a) \cdot e^{-rl}$$

- where
 - S_f is the carbon stock in forest soils at steady state
 - S_a is the carbon stock in the soil before afforestation
 - p_c is the price of the ton of CO_2 (from 0 to 100 €/t CO_2)
 - l stands for the half-life for the constitution of the new carbon stock in soils.
- This phenomenon occurs only once.
- A variation of the carbon stock of soils could also be taken into account for each regeneration period.
- These changes are not taken into account in what follows.

Combination

- Plantation costs

- Wood

- Carbon

$$-Do \frac{1}{1 - e^{-rn}}$$

Faustmann

$$p(n).q(n).V(n) \frac{e^{-rn}}{1 - e^{-rn}}$$

$$p_c.c.V(n).e^{-rn} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-rn}} (A + B + C + D + E)$$

- Sequestration

$$A = z.e^{r(n+d)}$$

- Substitution

$$B = a(n) + q(n)$$

- Emissions (products)

$$C = q(n).e^{-rh}$$

- End of life substitution

$$D = a'.q'.q(n).e^{-rh}$$

- Emissions (non products)

$$E = [z - q(n)].e^{-rk}$$

Case-study: reference scenario

- Main features of the scenario
 - Mentioned previously
 - $p_c = 20 \text{ €/tCO}_2$
- Results
 - Faustmann: 1250 €/ha and 23 years
 - Wood (and partly plantation costs): 3918 €/ha and 22 years
 - Carbon (and partly plantation costs): 3331 €/ha and 27 years
 - Total: 7103 €/ha and 24 years
- For 24 years
 - Sequestration : 1211 €/ha
 - Substitution: 5561 €/ha
 - Emissions: -2274 €/ha

Case-study: scenarios

- Main features of the scenarios
 - $p_c=100$ €/tCO₂
 - Increase of p_c along time from 20 to 100 €/tCO₂

	Reference	High carbon price	Increasing carbon price
Wood	3918 €/ha – 22 years	6127 €/ha -21 years	6052 €/ha – 21 years
Carbon	3331 €/ha – 27 years	25258 €/ha – 27 years	32077 €/ha – 30 years
Global	7103 €/ha – 24 years	30753 €/ha – 25 years	36077 €/ha – 30 years
Sequestration	5561 €/ha	27739 €/ha	33899 €/ha
Substitution	2605 €/ha	13131 €/ha	14164 €/ha
Emissions	-2274 €/ha	-11881 €/ha	-11592 €/ha

Conclusions

- It is possible to generalise the Faustmann formula to take into account sequestration, substitution and the residence time in forests and in forest products
- The complexity is mainly due to the number of different cases to be analysed
- Additional information could be carried out concerning thinnings, change in soil carbon stock
- The uncertainty lies in parameters
- Sensitivity analyses can be done



Thank you for your attention